	Case 4:07-cr-00030-EXE Document 79 Filed 0	6/04/08 Page 1 of 4	
1	1		
2	2		
3	3		
4	4		
5	5		
6	6		
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
8	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9	9		
10	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,		
11	CR 0	7-30 SBA	
12	2	FIED STIPULATION AND	
13	3 ORDE	R TO CONTINUE <u>STATUS</u> ERENCE	
14		: June 3, 2008	
15	Defendants. /		
16			
17	THE PARTIES HEREBY STIPULATE AND AGREE that the status and		
18	motions hearing now set for the date and time indicated above,		
19	may be continued to 11:00 a.m. on July 1, 2008.		
20	This continuance is predicated on the need for further		
21	time to engage in settlement discussions. The attorneys have		
	been engaged in ongoing discussions with the defendants and		
	their respective families. Based on recent discovery of addi-		
	tional evidence, more time is needed to discuss the ramifica-		
	tions of this additional discovery, should this case proceed to		
	trial.		
27			
28			
	1		

1

LAW OFFICES
506 BROADWAY
SAN FRANCISCO
(415) 986-5591
Fax: (415) 421-1331

Moreover, the defendants desire to engage in a second settlement conference with Magistrate Brazil, at the Magistrate's invitation. All parties believe that the additional time requested could promote settlement of this case in lieu of trial.

IT IS STIPULATED that the period from June 3, 2008, to July 1, 2008, shall be excluded from the calculation of time in which the trial of the above-captioned matter must commence pursuant to the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. section 3161(c). The parties stipulate that the time is excludable from the time limitations of the Speedy Trial Act because the interests of justice are served by granting a continuance.

This continuance will permit continuity of counsel, and allow the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. 18 U.S.C. sections 3161(h)(8)(A) and (B)(iv).

Further, time is excluded pursuant to 18 U.S.C. sections 3161(h)(1)(F) predicated upon defense motions still pending and under submission by the court, which excludes delay resulting from any pretrial motion from the filing of the motion through the conclusion of the hearing on or other prompt disposition of such motion.

For the foregoing reasons, the parties stipulate and agree that the ends of justice served by the continuance requested herein outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial because the failure to grant such a continuance would unreasonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel.

LAW OFFICES
506 BROADWAY
SAN FRANCISCO
(415) 986-5591
Fax: (415) 421-1331

LAW OFFICES
506 BROADWAY
SAN FRANCISCO
(415) 986-5591
Fax: (415) 421-1331

That the ends of justice served by the continuance requested herein outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial because the failure to grant such a continuance would unreasonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel.

Based on these findings, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT the status and motions hearing be continued from 11:00 a.m. on June 3, 2008, to 11:00 a.m. on July 1, 2008, and that time is excluded under the Speedy Trial Act pursuant to 18 U.S.C. sections 3161(c), 3161(h)(8)(A) and (B)(iv), and 3161(h)(1)(F).

United States District Court

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated:6/3/08

LAW OFFICES 506 BROADWAY SAN FRANCISCO (415) 986-5591

Fax: (415) 421-1331